IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN JOSE DIVISION

IN RE: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE)
)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION)
) Master Docket
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:) No. 11-CV-2509-LHK
)
ALL ACTIONS)

VIDEO DEPOSITION OF RENEE JAMES

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

March 22, 2013

Reported by: Teresa L. Dunn, CSR No. 00-0367

1	levels? So, for example, is there a salary range for a
2	grade level 10 and a 11 and a 12 and a 13?
3	A. As I said, they're very wide bands so they
4	range from they overlap so it is not
09:26:04 5	strictly they don't correlate one to one.
6	Q. Okay. And so if I'm understanding you
7	correctly the bottom of the range for a 11 doesn't
8	start where the top of 10 ends?
9	A. Correct.
09:26:17 10	Q. Is that what you are trying to
11	A. That's what I'm trying to explain, yes.
12	Q. Okay. But is it fair to say that for each
13	job strike that.
14	Is it fair to say that each Intel person is
09:26:34 15	assigned to a job which is associated with a job level?
16	A. Everybody has a grade, a job grade. If by
17	level you mean the grade?
18	Q. Right.
19	A. That's what we call it. If you mean grade,
09:26:49 20	numerical grade, the answer is yes.
21	Q. And there's a salary range for each of those
22	grades?
23	A. Again, I caution because the salary ranges are
24	broad and they're only indicators. They're not
09:26:59 25	absolutes.

1	Q. Do you have or have you had responsibility for
2	setting compensation for people that report up to you
3	in your organization?
4	A. I have collaborative, I will say that,
09:27:15 5	compensation setting for only my direct reports which
6	is to say I don't set it. I advise if it needs
7	adjustments.
8	Q. Okay. So let me kind of unpack that. So how
9	many direct reports do you have?
09:27:31 10	A. Twelve.
11	Q. Twelve?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. Okay. And it is fair to say that there are a
14	number of other people who report up to you in your
09:27:39 15	organization?
16	A. That's true.
17	Q. What's your role with respect to setting
18	compensation for them?
19	A. I have really no role at all.
09:27:46 20	Q. Okay. Who sets the compensation for them?
21	A. Compensation is set by a combination of the
22	comp committee of Intel, the board, and the human
23	resources department.
24	And they make a recommendation to management
09:28:05 25	and we use a pretty elaborate on-line system that makes

1	recommendations based on performance as measured each
2	year, the performance of the employee.
3	Q. Okay. So I think you said that the comp
4	committee is involved in that as well as the human
09:28:22 5	resource department; is that right?
6	A. Right, uh-huh.
7	Q. First, could you tell me what the role of the
8	comp committee is in that process?
9	A. I don't think I know their detailed role, just
09:28:32 10	generally speaking they approve the budget for our
11	overall compensation.
12	Q. And when you say "the comp committee," is this
13	a high-level committee at Intel? Are these senior
14	people at Intel?
09:28:43 15	A. I don't know all of the members of the
16	compensation committee of the board. I certainly know
17	the board members.
18	Q. Have you ever been on the comp committee?
19	A. No, I have not.
09:28:53 20	Q. For example, does Mr. Otellini serve on the
21	comp committee?
22	A. I don't know.
23	Q. Okay. So that's the comp committee and
24	do on a let me do it this way, is there a regular
09:29:13 25	cycle for setting compensation at Intel? Is it an

1	annual cycle? Semiannual?
2	A. We have an annual review cycle at Intel.
3	Q. Is that called the focal process?
4	A. Yes, it is.
09:29:27 5	Q. Does the focal process produce ordinarily
6	produce changes in compensation on an annual basis?
7	A. The focal process is annual. It has an annual
8	budget. It does not produce a change annually.
9	Q. Okay.
09:29:50 10	A. The change is based on performance, promotion.
11	Q. Well, I guess what I'm trying to get at is at
12	Intel, for example, if someone is performing
13	satisfactorily and moving up through the ranks, does a
14	person receive an increase in compensation or an
09:30:14 15	adjustment in compensation once a year?
16	A. No, they do not.
17	Q. Okay. How many times a year?
18	A. If they're going to receive one it is
19	ordinarily once a year, but being a satisfactory
09:30:26 20	employee does not guarantee you any compensation
21	movement.
22	We have a philosophy of pay for performance
23	which means that being an average performer in a
24	certain year in a tight budgetary year does not mean
09:30:38 25	you are necessarily going to get an increase.

	1	in a very obscure part of Russia and in another couple
	2	of other very, very, very rare skill-sets in specific
	3	areas.
	4	Q. And those other skill-sets, were they people
09:51:31	5	also in Russia or were they in the United States?
	6	A. They were all over the world, predominantly
	7	Russia, China, and the United States.
	8	Q. And what was the skill-sets?
	9	A. They varied, but they were compilation skills
09:51:47	10	and some of the more, I don't know how to characterize
	11	it, extraordinarily rarified type of computer science.
	12	Q. And were these people that worked with Intel's
	13	compilers?
	14	A. They worked not only with the compilers, but
09:52:01	15	the operating systems and some of the other, but, yes.
	16	Q. Okay. Were these people were some of these
	17	people based in the United States, though?
	18	A. Yes, some of them were.
	19	Q. In the San Francisco Bay area?
09:52:13	20	A. Yes.
	21	Q. And did you lose some of them to Google?
	22	A. We lost many of them to Google.
	23	Q. Did you lose any of them to VMware?
	24	A. I don't remember that we I don't recall
09:52:32	25	that.

1	Q. Other than Google can you recall or identify
2	particular companies that were ones where you were
3	losing these people to during that abnormal period?
4	A. No, I don't remember any other companies, no.
09:52:50 5	Q. So, again, maybe I asked this, but let me make
6	sure, when you were trying to fill job open job
7	requisitions and you were working with the human
8	resource folks to do that did you ever identify for
9	them companies to target for their recruiting efforts?
09:53:25 10	A. I don't remember that I did. I really don't.
11	Q. Now, organizationally was the recruiting
12	department within the HR department?
13	A. That's my understanding.
14	Q. Were there particular people you would
09:53:44 15	identify as the chief recruiters with whom you worked?
16	A. Boy, you know, again, I didn't do a lot of
17	this work directly and I don't really know any of the
18	names of the people. I can't think of any of them.
19	Q. Now, we talked a few minutes ago about salary
09:54:10 20	ranges associated with new job requisitions?
21	A. Uh-huh.
22	Q. Do you know if Intel in setting those or
23	determining those ranges looked at market data? When I
24	say market data I mean data regarding compensation or
09:54:26 25	compensation levels paid by other technology companies,

1	for example, or other companies in the marketplace.
2	A. Yes, I believe they did do that and they would
3	share some of it with us in limited ways.
4	Q. Okay. Do you know what first, do you know
09:54:42 5	what the sources of that data were?
6	A. I do not.
7	Q. Well, are you aware that there are companies
8	that provide survey data on compensation rates in the
9	market?
09:54:55 10	A. Yes, I am aware of that.
11	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with or have you heard
12	names like Radford that provide market compensation
13	surveys?
14	A. Yes, I have.
09:55:06 15	Q. Or Croner?
16	A. No.
17	Q. Do you know if Intel used Radford market
18	survey data in determining salary ranges for jobs?
19	A. I don't know.
09:55:20 20	Q. Okay. Were you ever provided Radford data?
21	A. I don't know. I don't think I knew the source
22	of the data of what I saw.
23	Q. Well, the data that talked about it was it
24	did it take the form of survey data?
09:55:32 25	A. It was a form of market survey and comparative

In Re: HIGH-TECH EMPLOYEE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

1	to a basket of technology companies kind of thing.
2	Q. Did Intel benchmark its compensation against
3	other technology companies to the best of your
4	knowledge?
09:55:47 5	A. I don't know how they did it actually. I
6	mean, again, I saw market basket kind of top tech, top.
7	Q. Do you know if Intel received that data from
8	surveys or directly from the other technology
9	companies?
09:56:02 10	A. I do not know.
11	Q. Okay. Did Intel to the best of your knowledge
12	have any kind of benchmarking goals with respect to
13	setting compensation levels, for example, we want to
14	pay 50 percent of a competitive benchmark or 60 percent
09:56:21 15	of a competitive benchmark across the board or for
16	particular jobs?
17	A. I don't know what their I don't know that
18	detail.
19	Q. Okay. So, for example, there was a period of
09:56:36 20	time I think you identified a few minutes ago where, in
21	fact, your group was losing people to Google?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. And did Intel raise its compensation for
24	people they thought were targets of Google's recruiting
09:56:51 25	efforts in order to retain them?

1	people how to use the tool.
2	Q. And was there a tool that was available an
3	electronic tool that was available at Intel for
4	managing offers to prospective employees?
16:28:02 5	A. Based on this document I believe so.
6	Q. And was that a tool that you had access to?
7	A. It is not something I have access to.
8	Q. Was this a tool that your reports had access
9	to?
16:28:15 10	A. I don't think so. I think this is a staffing
11	only tool.
12	Q. And so was this
13	A. Human resources.
14	Q. Was this a tool developed and used by people
16:28:25 15	within the HR department?
16	A. That's what I believe.
17	Q. Let me just ask you a couple of questions
18	about this. Throughout this document there are a
19	couple of references to PeopleSoft. Do you see that?
16:28:42 20	A. Yes, I saw that.
21	Q. Do you know what that reference is to?
22	A. Well, I know what PeopleSoft is.
23	Q. Okay. What is PeopleSoft?
24	A. It was an independent company that ran HR
16:28:55 25	programs, software programs like these which was

1	acquired by Oracle by Oracle.
2	Q. Did Intel use or run PeopleSoft applications
3	in its HR department for use in managing the process of
4	recruiting or extending offers or calculating
16:29:18 5	compensation?
6	A. I don't know, but the document implies that we
7	did.
8	Q. So let me just ask you is that in doing
9	your job did you access that application?
16:29:36 10	A. Never.
11	Q. Do you know if people who reported to you did?
12	A. No, I don't think so.
13	Q. On page 8 there are a lot of steps in this
14	process.
16:30:01 15	A. There are, I agree with that.
16	Q. Let me ask you about Step 14
17	A. Okay.
18	Q Internal Equity. Do you see that?
19	A. Yes, I do.
16:30:09 20	Q. Have you heard the term "internal equity" used
21	for purposes or with respect to compensation?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. What do you understand it to mean?
24	A. A set of criteria that we use to in aggregate
16:30:26 25	check between different people in the same grade band

	1	across a variety of different metrics, performance,
	2	pay, equity.
	3	Q. Now, if you look at Step 14 it says, For
	4	experienced hires the candidate profile pay guidelines
16:30:48	5	and internal equity are the main pieces of information
	6	used to compute the base salary.
	7	Do you see that?
	8	A. Uh-huh.
	9	Q. Is that consistent with your understanding of
16:30:56	10	the practice at Intel?
	11	A. Candidate profile, I don't know what the
	12	candidate profile is. Pay guidelines, yes, pay
	13	guidelines I understand. Internal equity is
	14	information.
16:31:17	15	I think the candidate profile I believe is the
	16	piece that's important beyond those two things because
	17	it depends on the, you know, experience level, what
	18	their current salary ranges are in their current job,
	19	that kind of thing.
16:31:36	20	Q. Okay. Are you saying that pay guidelines and
	21	internal equity are not pieces of information used to
	22	compute base salary?
	23	A. No, they are used. They are used, but it
	24	depends on all of those factors in aggregate that you
16:31:51	25	look at.

1	Q. Okay. And the next sentence says, Internal
2	equity is defined as a fairness criteria in comparing
3	comparable Intel jobs using education, experience,
4	skill level, performance, and timing of next review
16:32:07 5	period.
6	Do you see that?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Is that based on your experience at the
9	company is that an accurate description of how the
16:32:19 10	concept of internal equity was used at Intel?
11	A. Well, internal equity is used at Intel past
12	and present tense. I distinguish the use of it for
13	comparable jobs inside the company versus experienced
14	hiring. Those are different cases.
16:32:49 15	In comparable jobs inside of the company it is
16	a guideline to help people understand across certain
17	ranges what the collective pool of employees are doing.
18	Q. Did you think maintaining at some general
19	level principles of internal equity across the
16:33:09 20	workforce at Intel was an important goal?
21	A. I think internal equity is aspirational. I
22	think it is a guideline that helps you look at, you
23	know, apples and oranges data and give you a sense of
24	what's going on, but we focus on pay for performance.
16:33:40 25	So the number one criteria is performance and

	1	performance to grade, performance versus peers,
	2	performance versus the market. So I would call
	3	internal equity a secondary or tertiary tool.
	4	Q. This says, Internal equity is used to
16:34:10	5	determine wage rates for new hires and current
	6	employees that correspond to each job's relative value
	7	to Intel.
	8	Do you see that?
	9	MR. PICKETT: His question is do you see that?
16:34:24	10	Q. (By Mr. Saveri) I just wanted to make sure you
	11	are with me on that paragraph.
	12	A. Yes, I see that.
	13	Q. Does that accurately summarize one use of
	14	internal equity at Intel with respect to setting
16:34:40	15	compensation?
	16	A. I think it summarizes it in the broad sense.
	17	It is not the only way that this is done.
	18	Q. Okay. If you look at the last page of the
	19	document there's a Revision History. Do you see that?
16:35:13	20	A. Yes, I do.
	21	Q. Do you know if this Revision History is the
	22	revision history of the manual or of the underlying
	23	application?
	24	A. I do not know.
16:35:20	25	Q. And do you know any of these people?

1	A. I do not.
2	Q. Do you know if they work for Intel?
3	A. I don't.
4	Q. Okay. You can put that document aside. Let
16:35:51 5	me hand you what's been marked as 168. I'm sorry.
6	This is the Competitive Impact Statement.
7	A. Okay. This is different than
8	Q. I believe I showed you earlier the Final
9	Judgment.
16:36:12 10	A. You did show me the Final Judgment.
11	Q. And so this is a different document.
12	MR. PICKETT: But they repeat each other.
13	THE WITNESS: They do so that's why
14	MR. PICKETT: So it is it includes a lot of
16:36:22 15	the Final Judgment.
16	MR. SAVERI: That is absolutely the truth.
17	THE WITNESS: I'm clarifying because it looks
18	very similar so I wanted to make sure if I have seen a
19	different document. Okay.
16:36:40 20	Q. (By Mr. Saveri) And I will grant Mr. Pickett's
21	comment about the redundancy between the two documents,
22	but I really just want to ask you a couple of questions
23	about the portion of the document on page 5 that talks
24	about the Google-Intel Agreement, but take whatever
16:37:02 25	time you need to review the document.

1	A. Whoever the account manager is usually takes
2	the notes of the meeting.
3	Q. Okay. And you had business review meetings
4	and other meetings from time to time with Paul
16:53:18 5	Otellini, correct?
6	A. Yes, I do.
7	Q. And did you keep notes of those meetings?
8	A. Actually, I do not keep notes.
9	Q. Were notes taken of those?
16:53:27 10	A. Very rarely. Finance usually will produce the
11	action items, not notes, but just what the follow-up
12	items are out of those meetings.
13	MR. SAVERI: All right. I don't have any
14	further questions. Thank you very much.
16:53:40 15	MR. PICKETT: Thank you.
16	(Deposition concluded at 4:55 p.m.)
17	(Signature reserved.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Teresa L. Dunn, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter for Oregon, do hereby certify that, pursuant
5	to stipulation of counsel for the respective parties
6	hereinbefore set forth, RENEE JAMES personally appeared
7	before me at the time and place set forth in the
8	caption hereof; that at said time and place I reported
9	in Stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral
10	proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that
11	thereafter my notes were reduced to typewriting under
12	my direction; and that the foregoing transcript, pages
13	1 to 258, both inclusive, constitutes a full, true and
14	accurate record of all such testimony adduced and oral
15	proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.
16	Witness my hand and CSR stamp at Vancouver,
17	Washington, this 29th day of March, 2013.
18	
19	TERESA L. DUNN
20	Certified Shorthand Reporter Certificate No. 00-0367
21	cerefficace No. 00 0507
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	RENEE JAMES
2	I have read the transcript of the deposition taken on March 22, 2013, at Portland, Oregon, and make the
3	following changes:
4	PAGE LINE CHANGE(S) AND REASON FOR CHANGE(S)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Subject to the foregoing changes, the transcript is
15	correct.
15 16	correct.
	RENEE JAMES
16	
16 17	RENEE JAMES Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2013.
16 17 18	RENEE JAMES Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2013. Notary Public for the State of
16 17 18 19	RENEE JAMES Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2013. Notary Public for the State
16 17 18 19 20	RENEE JAMES Subscribed and sworn to before me this , 2013. Notary Public for the State of residing at
16 17 18 19 20 21	RENEE JAMES Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2013. Notary Public for the State of residing at My Commission Expires: Re: In Re: High-tech Employee Antitrust Litigation
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	RENEE JAMES Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of, 2013. Notary Public for the State of residing at My Commission Expires: Re: In Re: High-tech Employee Antitrust Litigation US District Court No. 11-CV-2509-LHK